

One Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + \dots + v^r\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$

Ousmane Ndiaye

Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, FST, DMI, LACGAA,
Senegal,
ousmane3.ndiaye@ucad.edu.sn

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate cyclic code over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + \dots + v^r\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, where $v^{r+1} = v$, p a prime number, $r > 1$ and $\gcd(r, p) = 1$, we prove as generalisation of [9] that these codes are principally generated, give generator polynomial and idempotent depending on idempotents over this ring as response to an open problem related in [11]. we also give a gray map and proprieties of the related dual code.

Keywords. cyclic codes, generating idempotents, dual codes.

1 Introduction

Linear code over finite rings receives intensive study in the last decades of twentieth. This study over finite rings was motivated after the establishment of gray maps between non-linear codes and linear code over \mathbb{Z}_4 e.g. in [7] and [10]. Calderbank et al. give the structure of cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p^m} in [3].

C. Bachoc introduced the linear codes $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$. These codes was also studied and them self-dual over $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2$ in [[2],[6],[13], [12]].

Recently some cyclic codes are studied on chain rings over \mathbb{F}_p where p is prime. In [4] Y. Yasemin establish a relation between cyclic code $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and code over \mathbb{F}_p^k , and investigate the structure of cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3$ in [5]. In [9] cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + v^2\mathbb{F}_p$ was studies in term of there idempotents and skew codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + v^2\mathbb{F}_q$ in [1], by given for all of them a gray map on a field.

Some generalizations have been done by on codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + \dots + v^r\mathbb{F}_p$, in [11], J. Qian et al. introduced cyclic codes on those rings by analogy with \mathbb{Z}_{p^m} case. They also set an open problem by suggesting an investigation on idempotents generator of those rings and determining the existence of self-dual code.

In this paper, we investigate cyclic code over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + \dots + v^r\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, where $v^{r+1} = v$, p a prime number, $r > 1$ and $\gcd(r, p) = 1$, we prove as generalisation of [9] that these code are principally generated, give generator polynomial and idempotent depending on idempotents over R_r . we also give a gray map and proprieties of the related dual code.

The material is organized as follows. The next section contains the basics of codes over rings that we need for further notice. Section 3 derives the structure of cyclic codes over R , Section 4 derives the structure of dual cyclic codes over R and we conclude in section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Let A be a commutative ring, a code C of length n over A is an A -submodule of A^n . The Hamming weight of a codeword is the number of non-zero components. an element e of A is called idempotent if $e^2 = e$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be two elements of A^n , the inner product of x and $y \in R_r^n$ is defined by,

$$xy = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

Therefore from a linear code C of length n over A , the corresponding dual code is define by $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n | x.c = 0 \ \forall c \in C\}$. C is self-dual if $C = C^\perp$, C is self-orthogonal if $C \subseteq C^\perp$. Two codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates.

A cyclic code C of length n over A is a linear code with property that if $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C$ then $\sigma(c) = (c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in C$. We assume that p is not divisible by n , and we represent codeword by polynomials. Then cyclic codes are ideals of the ring $A[x]/(x^n - 1)$.

On every ring with at least one idempotent, one may apply the Peirce decomposition given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If e is an idempotent in a ring A not necessary commutative and not necessary unitary, then one can decompose A as the direct sum of four components, each of them related to e . Concretely,*

$$A = eAe \oplus eA(1-e) \oplus (1-e)Ae \oplus (1-e)A(1-e)$$

This decomposition is known as the Peirce decomposition of A with respect to e . If A have not identity $(1-e)A$ means

$$\{x - ex | x \in A\}.$$

In the commutative case we have

$$A = eA \oplus (1-e)A$$

Lemma 2. *Let e_1, \dots, e_n be orthogonal nonzero idempotents of a commutative Ring A with the property that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, then*

$$A = e_1A \oplus \dots \oplus e_nA$$

Let $R_r = \mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + v^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + \dots + v^r\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, where $v^{r+1} = v$, p a prime number, $r > 1$ and $\gcd(r, p) = 1$.

This may be seen as $R_r = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}[v] / \langle v^{r+1} - v \rangle$ all polynomial on $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[v]$ of degree at most equal to r , where \mathbb{F}_{p^k} is the primitive field. R_r is a characteristic p ring of size p^{r+1} .

Proposition 1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \frac{1}{r}v + \frac{1}{r}v^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{r}v^r, \\ e_2 &= -\frac{1}{r}v - \frac{1}{r}v^2 - \dots - \frac{1}{r}v^{r-1} + \frac{r-1}{r}v^r, \\ e_3 &= 1 - v^r \end{aligned}$$

three elements of $\in R_r$. Then e_i are orthogonal nonzero idempotents verifying the Pierce conditions over R_r

Proof. ..

$$- e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 1?$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 + e_2 + e_3 &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} v^i (1 - 1) + v^r \left(\frac{r-1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} - 1 \right) + 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$- e_i^2 = e_i?$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^2 &= \frac{1}{r^2} (v + v^2 + \dots + v^r)(v + v^2 + \dots + v^r) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} (v^2 + v^3 + v^4 + \dots + v^{r+1} + \\ &\quad v^3 + v^4 + \dots + v^{r+1} + v^{r+2} + \\ &\quad + v^4 + \dots + v^{r+1} + v^{r+2} + v^{r+3} + \\ &\quad \dots + \\ &\quad + v^r + v^{r+1} + v^{r+2} + v^{r+3} + \dots + v^{2r-1} + \\ &\quad + v^{r+1} + v^{r+2} + v^{r+3} + \dots + v^{2r-1} + v^{2r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} (v^2 + 2v^3 + \dots + jv^{j+1} + \dots + (r-1)v^r + \\ &\quad rv^{r+1} + (r-1)v^{r+2} + \dots + (r-j)v^{r+j+1} + \dots + v^{2r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} (v^2 + \dots + jv^{j+1} + \dots + (r-1)v^r + \\ &\quad rv + (r-1)v^2 + \dots + (r-j)v^{j+1} + \dots + v^r) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} (rv + rv^2 + rv^3 + \dots + rv^r) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} (v + v^2 + v^3 + \dots + v^r) \\ &= e_1 \end{aligned}$$

So e_1 is an idempotent element. By the way we show that $e_2^2 = e_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_3^2 &= (1 - v^r)^2 \\ &= 1 - 2v^r + v^{2r} \\ &= 1 - 2v^r + v^r \\ &= 1 - v^r \\ &= e_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$- e_i e_j = 0?$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_3 &= e_i(1 - v^r) = e_i - e_i v^r = e_i - e_i = 0 \\ e_1 e_2 &= \frac{-1}{r^2}(v + v^2 + v^3 + \dots + v^r)([v + v^2 + v^3 + \dots + v^r] - r v^r) \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{r}(v + v^2 + v^3 + \dots + v^r)\right]^2 + \frac{1}{r}v^r(v + v^2 + v^3 + \dots + v^r) \\ &= -e_1^2 + e_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i = 1$, $e_i^2 = e_i$ and $e_i e_j = 0$, then we get:

$$R_r = e_1 R_r \oplus e_2 R_r \oplus e_3 R_r.$$

In the following, R denotes the ring $e_1 \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \oplus e_2 \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \oplus e_3 \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ which is a sub-ring of R_r .

Lemma 3. $e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 f_3$ is an idempotent in $R[x]/(x^n - 1)$ if and only if f_i are idempotents in $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]/(x^n - 1)$; where $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. :

\Rightarrow : Let $e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 f_3$ be an idempotent element in $R[x]/(x^n - 1) = e_1[\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]/(x^n - 1)] \oplus e_2[\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]/(x^n - 1)] \oplus e_3[\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]/(x^n - 1)]$,

Then $(e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 f_3)^2 = e_1 f_1^2 + e_2 f_2^2 + e_3 f_3^2 = e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 f_3$ that means $f_i^2 = f_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

\Leftarrow : When $f_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are idempotents on $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ it is clear that $e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 + e_3 f_3$ is.

Definition 1. *Gray map*

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ where $x_i = e_1 s_i + e_2 t_i + e_3 u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the Gray map is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : R^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^{3n} \\ x &\mapsto \phi(x) = (s(x), t(x), u(x)) \end{aligned}$$

where $s(x) = (s_1, \dots, s_n), t(x) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, and $u(x) = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n$.

If A, B are codes over R , we write $A \oplus B$ to denote the code $\{a + b | a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $A \otimes B$ to denote the code $\{(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\}$. Let C be a linear code over R , we define:

$$C_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | \exists t, u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | e_1 s + e_2 t + e_3 u \in C\}$$

$$C_2 = \{t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | \exists u, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | e_1 s + e_2 t + e_3 u \in C\}$$

$$C_3 = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | \exists s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n | e_1 s + e_2 t + e_3 u \in C\}$$

Following the same idea in [[5], [9]], this means $C = e_1 C_1 \oplus e_2 C_2 \oplus e_3 C_3$, So any code over R is characterized by its associative p -ary codes C_1, C_2 , and C_3 and conversely.

Theorem 1. Let C be a linear code of length n over R , with its p -ary code C_1, C_2, C_3 . Then $\phi(C) = C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$ and $|C| = |C_1||C_2||C_3|$.

Proof. :

\Rightarrow : Let $(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \phi(C)$, $\exists x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$ such that $\phi(x) = (s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Since ϕ is injective, $x_i = e_1 s_i + e_2 t_i + e_3 u_i$,
 $x = (e_1 s_1 + e_2 t_1 + e_3 u_1, \dots, e_1 s_n + e_2 t_n + e_3 u_n) = e_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) + e_2(t_1, \dots, t_n) + e_3(u_1, \dots, u_n)$.
 $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2$ and $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$,

So we have $(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$, then $\phi(C) \subseteq C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$

\Leftarrow : Let $(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$ where $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$, Then there exists codewords $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n), c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C$ such that:

$a = e_1 s + e_2 \lambda_1 + e_3 \lambda_2, b = e_1 \lambda_3 + e_2 t + e_3 \lambda_4, c = e_1 \lambda_5 + e_2 \lambda_6 + e_3 u$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^n$, Since C is linear, we have $h = e_1 a + e_2 b + e_3 c = e_1 s + e_2 t + e_3 u \in C$. Hence $(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) = \phi(h) \in \phi(C)$.

Therefore we have $\phi(C) = C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$, and since ϕ is bijective it is easy to see $|C| = |C_1||C_2||C_3|$.

3 Cyclic codes over

Lemma 4. *Let C be a cyclic code in a ring a commutative ring A , then:*

1. *there exists a unique idempotent $e(x) \in C$ such that $C = \langle e(x) \rangle$, and*
2. *if $e(x)$ is a nonzero idempotent in C , then $C = \langle e(x) \rangle$ if and only if $e(x)$ is a unity in C*

If C_1 and C_2 are codes of length n over a ring A , then $C_1 + C_2 = \{c_1 + c_2 | c_1 \in C_1 \text{ and } c_2 \in C_2\}$ is the sum of C_1 and C_2 . Both the intersection and the sum of two cyclic codes are cyclic, and their generator polynomials and generating idempotents are determined in the next theorem which generalise in [9].

Theorem 2. *Let C_i be a cyclic code of length n over A with generator polynomial $g_i(x)$ and generating idempotent $e_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Then:*

- (i). $\bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i$ has generator polynomial $\text{lcm}(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))$ and generating idempotent $\prod_{i=1}^t e_i(x)$
- (ii). $\sum_{i=1}^t C_i$ has generator polynomial $\text{gcd}(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))$ and generating idempotent $\sum_{i=1}^t e_i(x) - \sum_{i < j} e_i(x)e_j(x) + \sum_{i < j < k} e_i(x)e_j(x)e_k(x) - \dots + (-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^t e_i(x)$.

Proof. :

- (i). Let $g(x) = \text{lcm}(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))$, $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i$ and $c(x)$ the generator polynomial of C .

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, $g_i(x) | g(x) \Rightarrow g(x) \in C_i$, then $g(x) \in C$ which means $c(x)$ divides $g(x)$.

On the other hand, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, $c(x) \in C_i \Rightarrow g_i(x) | c(x)$, then $\deg(c(x)) \geq \deg(\text{lcm}(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))) = \deg(g(x))$.

We get finally $c(x) | g(x)$ and $\deg(c(x)) \geq \deg(g(x))$, then the generator polynomial $c(x)$ of C is $\text{lcm}(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))$.

Since $\prod_{i=1}^t e_i(x)$ is an idempotent element and unity in C , According to the lemma 4 it is a generating idempotent of C .

(ii). Let $g(x) = \gcd(g_1(x), \dots, g_t(x))$ and

$$e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t e_i(x) - \sum_{i<j} e_i(x)e_j(x) + \dots + (-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^t e_i(x).$$

$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $g(x) | g_i(x)$, which shows that $C_i \subseteq \langle g(x) \rangle$ implying $\sum_{i=1}^t C_i \subseteq \langle g(x) \rangle$.

On the other hand, It follows from the Euclidean Algorithm that $g(x) = g_1(x)a_1(x) + \dots + g_t(x)a_t(x)$ for some $a_i(x) \in R[x]$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$), that means $g(x) \in \sum_{i=1}^t C_i$ implying $\langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^t C_i$. So $\langle g(x) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^t C_i$.

By recurrence one can see that $e(x, 2)$ is idempotent element, suppose it is now true until $t-1$ ie :

$e(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x) - \sum_{i<j} e_i(x)e_j(x) + \sum_{i<j<k} e_i(x)e_j(x)e_k(x) - \dots + (-1)^{t-2} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x)$ is idempotent element, So $(e(x, t) + e_t(x) - e_t(x)e(x, t))^2 = (e(x, t) + e_t(x) - e_t(x)e(x, t))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e(x, t) + e_t(x) - e_t(x)e(x, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x) - \sum_{i<j} e_i(x)e_j(x) + \dots + (-1)^{t-2} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x) \\ &\quad + e_t(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x)e_t(x) + \dots + (-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i(x)e_t(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t e_i(x) - \sum_{i<j} e_i(x)e_j(x) + \dots + (-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^t e_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

Let $c(x) \in \sum_{i=1}^t C_i$, then $c(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i(x)$ where $c_i(x) \in C_i$. For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, we may write the idempotent, under the isolation of one $e_i(x)$ as $e(x) = e(x, i) + e_i(x) - e_i(x)e(x, i)$

$$\begin{aligned} c(x)e(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^t c_i(x)e(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t c_i(x)(e(x, i) + e_i(x) - e_i(x)e(x, i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t (c_i(x)e(x, i) + c_i(x)e_i(x) - c_i(x)e_i(x)e(x, i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t (c_i(x)e(x, i) + c_i(x) - c_i(x)e(x, i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t c_i(x) = c(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(x)$ is an idempotent element and unity in $\sum_{i=1}^t C_i$, According to the lemma 4 it is a generating idempotent of $\sum_{i=1}^t C_i$.

Corollary 1. Let C_i be a cyclic code of length n over A for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(C_1 + C_2 + \dots + C_t) &= \sum_{i=1}^t \dim(C_i) - \sum_{i < j}^t \dim(C_i \cap C_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j < k}^t \dim(C_i \cap C_j \cap C_k) - \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{t-1} \dim(C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_t) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. If $C = e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3$ is a linear code of length n over R , then C is a cyclic code over R if and only if C_1, C_2 , and C_3 are p -ary cyclic codes of length n .

Proof. Let σ be the shift operator. all cyclic codes are stable from σ .

One the one hand, let $C = e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3$ be a linear code of length n over R and C_i ($i=1, \dots, n$) p -ary cyclic codes. If $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C$ then , $c = (e_1s_1 + e_2t_1 + e_3u_1, \dots, e_1s_n + e_2t_n + e_3u_n) = e_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) + e_2(t_1, \dots, t_n) + e_3(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ with $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2, (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(c) &= \sigma(e_1(s_1, \dots, s_n) + e_2(t_1, \dots, t_n) + e_3(u_1, \dots, u_n)) \\ &= e_1\sigma(s_1, \dots, s_n) + e_2\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) + e_3\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2$ and $\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$, then $\sigma(c) \in C$, which is in this case cyclic.

On the other hand, let $C = e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3$ a cyclic code. For $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$, we have $e_1s + e_2t + e_3u \in C$. Since $\sigma(e_1s + e_2t + e_3u) = (e_1\sigma(s) + e_2\sigma(t) + e_3\sigma(u)) \in C$, then $\sigma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C_1, \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in C_2$ and $\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C_3$. Therefore C_i are cyclic.

Corollary 2. If C is a cyclic code of length n over R , then $\phi(C)$ is a quasi-cyclic code of order 3 and length $3n$.

Proof. As $\phi(C) = C_1 \otimes C_2 \otimes C_3$ and C cyclic. So according to the theorem 3, $\phi(C)$ is concatenation of 3 cyclic codes of length n , hence it is quasi-cyclic code of order 3 and length $3n$.

Theorem 4. If $C = e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3$ is a cyclic code of length n over R , then $C = \langle e_1g_1(x), e_2g_2(x), e_3g_3(x) \rangle$ and $|C| = p^{3n - \deg(g_1) - \deg(g_2) - \deg(g_3)}$, where $g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x)$ are the generator polynomial of C_1, C_2 , and C_3 respectively.

Proof. Let $c(x) \in C \Leftrightarrow \exists a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x) \in R[x]$ s.t $c(x) = e_1g_1(x)a_1(x) + e_2g_2(x)a_2(x) + e_3g_3(x)a_3(x) \Leftrightarrow c(x) \in \langle e_1g_1(x), e_2g_2(x), e_3g_3(x) \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} |C| &= |\phi(C)| \\ &= |C_1||C_2||C_3| \\ &= p^{n - \deg(g_1)} p^{n - \deg(g_2)} p^{n - \deg(g_3)} \\ &= p^{3n - \deg(g_1) - \deg(g_2) - \deg(g_3)} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. For any cyclic code C of length n over R there is a unique polynomial $g(x)$ such that $H = \langle g(x) \rangle$ and $g(x) | x^n - 1$, where $g(x) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} v^i (g_1(x) - g_2(x)) + v^r (\frac{r-1}{r} g_1(x) + \frac{1}{r} g_2(x) - g_3(x)) + g_3(x)$. Moreover if $g_1(x) = g_2(x) = g_3(x)$, then $g(x) = g_1(x)$.

Corollary 4. Let $C = e_1 C_1 \oplus e_2 C_2 \oplus e_3 C_3$ be a cyclic code of length n over R , where $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, $C_i = \langle f_i \rangle$, $g_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are idempotents, then there is only one idempotent $e \in C$ such that $C = \langle e \rangle$ where $e = e_1 f_1(x) + e_2 f_2(x) + e_3 f_3(x)$.

4 Cyclic Dual Codes

Recall that the ordinary inner product of vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$ is $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. Therefore from a linear code of length n over R , the corresponding dual code is defined by $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n | x \cdot c = 0 \forall c \in C\}$. For a polynomial h of degree k , $h^* = x^k h(x^{-1})$ will denote its reciprocal polynomial.

Lemma 5. The number of elements in any nonzero linear code C of length n over R_r is of the form p^s . Furthermore, the dual code C^\perp has p^t codewords where $s + t = (k + 1)n$.

Theorem 5. Let C_i be a cyclic code of length n over A with generator polynomial $g_i(x)$ and generating idempotent $e_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Then:

C_i^\perp has generator polynomial the reciprocal of $h_i(x)$ where $h_i(x)g_i(x) = x^n - 1$ and generating idempotent $1 - e_i(x^{-1})$.

Proof. :

Since $e_i(x)(1 - e_i(x)) = 0$, $e_i(x)$ is orthogonal to the idempotent $1 - e_i(x^{-1})$, that is $1 - e_i(x^{-1}) \in C_i^\perp$.

on the other hand, Let $c_i(x) \in C_i^\perp$, then $c_i^*(x)e_i(x) = 0$ in $R[x] / \langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^*(x)(1 - e_i(x)) &= c_i^*(x) \\ \Rightarrow c_i^*(x^{-1})(1 - e_i(x^{-1})) &= c_i^*(x^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow x^{\deg(c_i)} c_i^*(x^{-1})(1 - e_i(x^{-1})) &= x^{\deg(c_i)} c_i^*(x^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow c_i(x)(1 - e_i(x^{-1})) &= c_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - e_i(x^{-1})$ is an idempotent element and unity in C_i^\perp , According to the lemma 4 it is a generating idempotent of C_i^\perp .

Theorem 6. Let C be a linear code of length n over R , with its p -ary code C_1, C_2, C_3 . Then $C^\perp = e_1 C_1^\perp \oplus e_2 C_2^\perp \oplus e_3 C_3^\perp$.

Proof. :

Let $c \in e_1 C_1^\perp \oplus e_2 C_2^\perp \oplus e_3 C_3^\perp$, then it exists $\tilde{c}_i \in C_i^\perp$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $c = e_1 \tilde{c}_1 + e_2 \tilde{c}_2 + e_3 \tilde{c}_3$. Let $x \in C$, then it exists $c_i \in C_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $x = e_1 c_1 + e_2 c_2 + e_3 c_3$. Hence $x \cdot c = 0$ that means

$$e_1 C_1^\perp \oplus e_2 C_2^\perp \oplus e_3 C_3^\perp \subseteq C^\perp.$$

One the other hand, Let $x = e_1c_1 + e_2c_2 + e_3c_3 \in C = e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3$, and $c = e_1\tilde{c}_1 + e_2\tilde{c}_2 + e_3\tilde{c}_3 \in C^\perp \subseteq R^n$, hence $x.c = 0 = e_1c_1\tilde{c}_1 + e_2c_2\tilde{c}_2 + e_3c_3\tilde{c}_3 \Rightarrow$:

$$\begin{cases} c_1\tilde{c}_1 = 0; \\ c_2\tilde{c}_2 = 0; \text{ Then } \tilde{c}_i \in C_i^\perp \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3 \Rightarrow c \in e_1C_1^\perp \oplus e_2C_2^\perp \oplus e_3C_3. \\ c_3\tilde{c}_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally we have $C^\perp = e_1C_1^\perp \oplus e_2C_2^\perp \oplus e_3C_3^\perp$.

Corollary 5. *Let $C = \langle e_1g_1(x), e_2g_2(x), e_3g_3(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over R , where $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, $C_i = \langle g_i \rangle$, $g_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are idempotents, then $C = \langle e_1g_1(x) + e_2g_2(x) + e_3g_3(x) \rangle$ and the idempotent generator of C^\perp is $1 - e_1g_1(x^{-1}) - e_2g_2(x^{-1}) - e_3g_3(x^{-1})$*

Corollary 6. *C is a cyclic self dual code of length n over R if and only if C_1, C_2 , and C_3 p -ary cyclic self dual codes of length n .*

References

1. M. Ashraf and G. Mohammad, On skew cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + v^2\mathbb{F}_q$.
2. A. Bonnecaze and P. Udaya, "Cyclic codes and self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.45, no.4, pp.1250-1255, 1999.
3. A.R. Calderbank and N.J.A. Sloane, "Modular and p-adic codes," Des. Codes Cryptogr., vol.6, pp.21-35, 1995.
4. Y. Cengellenmis, THE RELATION BETWEEN THE $(1 - u^2)$ -CYCLIC CODES OVER $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u^2\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ AND THE CODES OVER \mathbb{F}_{p^k} . Trakia Journal of Sciences, Vol. 7, Suppl. 2, pp 121-124, 2009.
5. Y. Cengellenmis, On the Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3$. International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 6, 253-259.
6. S.T. Dougherty, P. Gaborit, and M. Harada, "Type II codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.45, no.1, pp.32-45, 1997.
7. A. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, P. Sole, The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and Related codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 40, 301, 319, (1994).
8. P. Kanwar, S. R. L. Permouth, Cyclic Codes over the Integers Modulo p^m , Finite Fields and App., 3, 334-352 (1997).
9. Yan LIU, Minjia SHI, Quadratic Residue Codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + v^2\mathbb{F}_p$. In Çetin Kaya Koç, Sihem Mesnager, and Erkan Savas, editors, Arithmetic of Finite Fields, volume 9061 of LNCS, pages 204-. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
10. Pless V, Qian Z. Cyclic codes and quadratic residue codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . IEEE Trans Inform Theory, 1996, 42(5): 1594-1600.
11. J. QIAN, L. ZHANG, S. ZHU, Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p + \dots + v^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_p$. IEICE TRANS. FUNDAMENTALS, VOL.E88-A, NO.3 MARCH 2005.
12. Shi M J, Sole P, Wu B. Cyclic codes and the weight enumerator of linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + v^2\mathbb{F}_2$. Appl. Comput. Math, 2013, 12(2): 247-255.
13. P. Udaya and A. Bonnecaze, "Decoding of cyclic over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol.45, no.6, pp.2148-2157, 1999.